

	SURFACE VEHICLE RECOMMENDED PRACTICE		J2310 MAY2011
		Issued	1999-01
		Revised	2011-05
		Superseding J2310 JAN1999	
(R) Rectangular Cross Section Polymeric Sealing Rings			

RATIONALE

This standard has been revised to provide updated seal material physical properties, and to provide improved clarity in certain areas.

1. SCOPE

The purpose of this SAE Recommended Practice is to establish guidelines for the automatic transmission and hydraulic systems engineer to design rectangular cross section seals for rotating and static grooved shaft applications. Also included are property comparisons of polymeric materials suitable for these applications. Historically, material covered in this document is not intended to include aluminum contact applications.

2. REFERENCES

2.1 Applicable Documents

The following publications form a part of this specification to the extent specified herein. Unless otherwise indicated, the latest issue of SAE publications shall apply.

2.1.1 SAE Publications

Available from SAE International, 400 Commonwealth Drive, Warrendale, PA 15096-0001, Tel: 877-606-7323 (inside USA and Canada) or 724-776-4970 (outside USA), www.sae.org.

SAE J1236 Cast Iron Sealing Rings

SAE Technical Paper 980734—Improving Automatic Transmission Quality with High Performance Polyimide Rotary Seal Rings

SAE Technical Standards Board Rules provide that: "This report is published by SAE to advance the state of technical and engineering sciences. The use of this report is entirely voluntary, and its applicability and suitability for any particular use, including any patent infringement arising therefrom, is the sole responsibility of the user."

SAE reviews each technical report at least every five years at which time it may be reaffirmed, revised, or cancelled. SAE invites your written comments and suggestions.

Copyright © 2011 SAE International

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording, or otherwise, without the prior written permission of SAE.

TO PLACE A DOCUMENT ORDER: Tel: 877-606-7323 (inside USA and Canada)
 Tel: +1 724-776-4970 (outside USA)
 Fax: 724-776-0790
 Email: CustomerService@sae.org
 SAE WEB ADDRESS: <http://www.sae.org>

**SAE values your input. To provide feedback
 on this Technical Report, please visit
http://www.sae.org/technical/standards/J2310_201105**

2.1.2 ASTM Publications

Available from ASTM International, 100 Barr Harbor Drive, P.O. Box C700, West Conshohocken, PA 19428-2959, Tel: 610-832-9585, www.astm.org.

- ASTM D 648 Test Method for Deflection Temperature of Plastics Under Flexural Load
- ASTM D 695 Test Method for Compressive Properties of Rigid Plastics
- ASTM D 696 Test Method for Coefficient of Linear Thermal Expansion of Plastics
- ASTM D 792 Test Method for Specific Gravity (Relative Density) and Density of Plastics by Displacement
- ASTM D 1708 Test Method for Tensile Properties of Plastics by Use of Microtensile Specimens
- ASTM E 228 Test Method for Linear Thermal Expansion of Solid Materials with a Vitreous Silica Dilatometer

3. MATERIALS

Polymeric sealing rings are made from various materials as described in the following paragraphs. These base polymer materials may be compounded with a variety of filler types to achieve specific performance properties such as increased resistance to wear and temperature, and improved fluids compatibility. Other desirable properties include reduced abrasion of mating components, reduced friction, increased strength, and resistance to damage. Examples of fillers include: glass, graphite, and various polymers. Factors to be considered when choosing a material include: application temperature, fluid type and pressure, contaminant exposure, configuration (solid or split), material interfaces, and assembly issues.

3.1 PTFE (Polytetrafluoroethylene)

These materials are normally sintered from powders which have been compacted/molded to specific sizes under extreme pressure. The materials are sintered to promote specific mechanical properties, crystallinity, and shape memory. The sintered materials are then cut into rings of specific dimensions, and can be split or left as a solid ring depending on assembly and functional requirements. Solid rings must be stretched to install over the shaft diameter. If stretched, then a subsequent sizing operation may be required depending on the recovery rate of the material.

3.2 Polyimide

These materials are typically compression molded into the desired size and shape. Polyimide rings have a higher elasticity modulus than PTFE and also provide higher strength and tolerance to deformation under pressure. Due to the high modulus of polyimide, these rings must be split to install over the shaft diameter. An example of polyimide material is nylon.

3.3 PEEK (Polyetheretherketone)

These materials are typically injection molded into the desired size and shape, or they are extruded and then finished by machining. PEEK rings will also require a split configuration due to its high modulus of elasticity and relative rigidity.

3.4 Comparison of Materials—Physical Properties (Table 1)

Physical properties listed are typical approximate values for reference only. Actual physical properties will vary significantly depending on types and amounts of filler materials. (Contact material supplier for details related to a specific material formulation.)

TABLE 1 - COMPARISON OF MATERIALS—PHYSICAL PROPERTIES

	ASTM Test #	Units	PTFE	Polyimide	PEEK
Max. Use Temp. (No Load)	NA	°C	93-316	200-330	230-315
Heat Deflect Temp. (455 kPa)	D 648	°C	121	238-271	NA
Heat Deflect Temp. (1.82 MPa)	D 648	°C	50-100	160-417	140-315
Coef. of Linear Expans (D 696)	E 228	10E-5/°K	1.4-25.0	0.4-6.0	2.2-14.7
Ultimate Elongation	D 1708	%	40-650	1.6-90.0	0.9-150
Specific Gravity	D 792	g/cc	0.7-2.3	.00545-2.05	1.23-1.53
Compressive Strength	D 695	MPa	1.5-23.4	77-553	29-183
Coefficient of Friction	NA	NA	0.008- 0.28	0.14-0.24	0.11-0.4

4. APPLICATION DESIGN DATA

The four configurations shown in Figures 1 to 4 are the most common. Other joint configurations are in use to solve specific applications issues.




FIGURE 1 - SOLID RING




FIGURE 2 - SPLIT RING—STRAIGHT CUT




FIGURE 3 - SPLIT RING—STEP JOINT




FIGURE 4 - SPLIT RING—SCARF CUT

4.1 Axial Width (W)

(The widths shown in Table 2 are considered “common” sizes.) The axial widths for polymeric sealing rings are dependent on the expected application pressure, and are calculated using the physical properties of the finished seal materials. Consult the seal ring manufacturer for specific applications. The values listed in Table 2 indicate a 0.08 mm minimum axial clearance between seal and groove width. This amount of clearance is intended for solid, straight cut, and step joint configurations. Scarf cut seals will require a greater amount of side clearance to prevent interference caused by “ramping” at joint location.

TABLE 2 - SEALING RING WIDTH

Minimum Axial Groove Width	Maximum Axial Sealing Ring Width	Maximum Suggested Seal Radial Wall (T)
2.00 mm	1.92 mm	1.73 mm
2.39 mm	2.31 mm	2.08 mm
3.00 mm	2.92 mm	2.63 mm
3.16 mm	3.08 mm	2.77 mm
3.97 mm	3.89 mm	3.50 mm
4.00 mm	3.92 mm	3.53 mm
4.75 mm	4.67 mm	4.20 mm
5.00 mm	4.92 mm	4.43 mm
6.00 mm	5.92 mm	5.33 mm
6.34 mm	6.26 mm	5.63 mm

4.2 Radial Wall Thickness (T)

It is recommended that sealing ring radial wall thickness not exceed the axial width shown in Table 2. Radial wall thickness (T) typically should be 90% of the axial width for proper function, ease of assembly, and to minimize the required groove depth (see 4.8).

4.3 End Clearance or Compressed Gap (G)

(Refer to Figure 5) This dimension is measured with seal ring installed inside a minimum diameter bore or ring gage at room temperature. Consult a ring manufacturer for the upper limit since this dimension can affect cost and performance. The lower limit is calculated based on thermal expansion of the seal and bore.

4.3.1 PTFE Seals

Minimum gap should be zero at its lowest operating temperature for all joint types.

4.3.2 Polyimide and PEEK Seals

The minimum gap should approach zero at maximum operating temperature to minimize leakage at gap location on straight cut and step joints. Scarf cut seals should have zero minimum gap at its lowest operating temperature.

4.4 Continuous (Solid) Ring or Split

(Refer to Figures 1 to 4.)

This document applies to both solid and split rings. Polyimide and PEEK materials are not suitable for solid type rings due to rigidity. The size of split rings is controlled by gap dimension as described in 4.3 and the term “gap” only applies to split rings.




FIGURE 5 - JOINT DETAILS

G = compressed gap when installed in a minimum diameter bore at room temperature. (Allow sufficient gap to accommodate thermal expansion at maximum operating temperature.) (Refer to 4.3.)

min. "G" = Zero at lowest operating temperature for all PTFE seals and all scarf cut seals.

min. "G" = $[\pi BJ(\text{Max. Temp.} - \text{lowest operating Temp.})] - [\pi BK(\text{Max. Temp.} - \text{lowest operating Temp.})]$ for polyimide and PEEK straight cut and step joint seals.

O = step length

W = axial width

S = step width (S1 + S2 must be $\leq W$)

J = coefficient of linear thermal expansion for seal material

K = coefficient of linear thermal expansion for bore material

B = bore diameter (minimum)

α = scarf cut angle (10 to 12 degrees is recommended. A shallow angle is desired to minimize ramping effect. Small diameter seal rings may require a steeper angle. Axial clearance between groove and seal must be sufficient to prevent wedging in a groove.)

4.5 Joint Type Operating Principles

- Straight Cut Joint (Figure 2)**—Some leakage at gap will result until gap closes from thermal expansion.
- Step Cut Joint (Figure 3)**—Operating pressure seals gap and joint thickness remains constant regardless of thermal expansion. Step over-engagement can cause the ring to buckle, causing a loss of seal contact with the groove face.
- Scarf Cut Joint (Figure 4)**—Operating pressure seals gap regardless of thermal expansion. However, thickness at joint will vary with thermal expansion variation. Excessive scarf cut overlap will result in ramping and possibly wedging of the seal with the groove at the gap area. PTFE will also possibly "weld" or stick together at the gap under pressure if the temperature is excessive.

4.6 Sealing Mechanism

The sealing of polymer rotary seal rings is accomplished by the hydraulic pressure compressing the seal face to the groove sidewall and the seal outer diameter to conform with the bore. This hydraulic pressure, coupled with thermal expansion of the seal, causes the surfaces of the gap to engage. This creates an effective seal which can approach a minimum leakage condition. In some applications, it may be necessary to provide a controlled leakage rate to lubricate and cool bearings located downstream of the seal. If a controlled leakage is desirable, then it is recommended that grooves or slots be designed into the seal, to provide the required leak rate.

4.7 Mating Surface Finish

In an ideal rotating seal application, the outside diameter of the seal expands and remains stationary with the bore while the seal face rotates relative to the shaft groove sidewall (see Figure 6). Typical finish differential between bore and shaft = 2 to 1 to insure low wear and proper relative motion. (e.g., 3.0 μm Ra for the bore and 1.5 μm Ra for the groove sidewall). For reciprocating seals, which do not rotate, the finish ratio should approach 1 to 1. Finish values should be minimized to reduce wear. The mating surface finishes for specific materials should be discussed with seal manufacturers.




FIGURE 6 - GROOVE DIAMETER AND SIDE CLEARANCE

Design the groove root diameter (GRD) to provide radial clearance to prevent seal corner interference with groove fillet radius "F" for polyimide and PEEK seals. Minor interference on PTFE seals will have no negative impact on seal performance. (Typical radial clearance for PTFE = 0.13 to 0.18 mm.)

E = eccentricity, GRD to C

$$\text{GRD}_{\text{MAX}} = C_{\text{MIN}} - 2(T_{\text{MAX}} + E_{\text{MAX}} + F_{\text{MAX}})$$

$$\text{GRD}_{\text{MIN}} = \text{GRD}_{\text{MAX}} - 0.25 \text{ mm}$$

Recommended values for E and F:

$$E_{\text{MAX}} = 0.065 \text{ mm}$$

$$F_{\text{MAX}} = 0.25 \text{ mm radius}$$

Recommended C diameter: This dimension should be as large as possible for best ring function. The limiting factor is usually the runout or wobble of the shaft to the bore with the shaft being sized so the lands do not contact the bore.

4.8 Handling and Installation

Solid type PTFE sealing rings will be stretched during assembly and may not recover fully depending on material properties. Seal sizing of these solid rings is recommended after installation to prevent seal damage from interference with bore during assembly. A cone type sizing sleeve should be used that sizes parts to the smallest size possible. It is recommended that this sizing sleeve remain over seal until shortly before installing shaft and seal assembly into bore.

Split rings must be assembled with care to assure that joint overlap is correct (see Figures 3 and 4).

5. SAMPLE CALCULATION

5.1 Loading Chamfer Diameter Calculation

In order to properly install the shaft and ring assembly in the bore, without a ring compressor, a chamfer of sufficient diameter is required to allow for the ring bottoming in the groove on one side and the ring hanging out on the other side as illustrated in Figure 7. The minimum radial dimension of the chamfer is equal to the maximum hang-down and can be calculated using Equation 1:

$$H_{MAX} = Z_{MAX} - \left(\frac{GRD_{MIN} + C_{MIN}}{2} + T_{MIN} - E_{MAX} \right) \quad (Eq. 1)$$

The minimum diameter of the chamfer can be calculated using Equation 2:

$$X_{MIN} = B_{MAX} + 2H_{MAX} \quad (Eq. 2)$$